

After laboring out this demonstration, I discovered just as I closed it, that my labor was in vain; for there is no necessity of drawing pyramids to other points, since each dividing plane of the prism, divide the prism into triangular prisms having the same base \& altitude, with the corresponding section of the prism, if the vertex be in one angle of the prism, \& if it be not, a pyr[ami] ${ }^{\mathrm{d}}$ may be drawn to one angle, which will equal it, \& the same proved.
lar bases, by the lines $\Lambda C, G E$. Then the pyramid ACDF on the base $A C D$, which is also the base of the prism A C F, \& having the same altitude with that prism, is a third part of it, \& the pyramid A C B H on the base $\Lambda C B$ of the other prism $\Lambda C H$ is also a third part of that pri[sm], since then the two prisms $\Lambda C F, \Lambda C H$ are equal to the whole prism ABEF \& of ACF, the pyramid AC DE is a third part, \& of ACH, the pyramid $A$ C B H is a third part, therefore the two pyramids ACDF \& $A C B H$ are together equal to a third part of the whole prism A BEF. But the first described pyramid ABCDF on the quadrilateral base $A B C D$ is equal to the two pyramids

AC DF \& ACB H; for let a plane passing thro' $\triangle$ E, EC, two sides of the pyramid $A B C D E$ divide it into the two pyramids $\Lambda B C F$, ACDE; the first of them leaving the same base $\Lambda C D$ with the prism* (* the word prism was individually struck through, replaced by pyramid, before the complete sentence was struck through...)
pyramid A C D F \& the same altitude, viz[it] (namely) that of the place GHF E is equal to it, for the same reason the other is equal to The pyramid $\triangle B C H$, therefore the sum of the former or the pyramid A B C D E is equal to the sum of the two latter, or the third part of the prism A B E F, \& the same will be the ease whatever be the number of sides in the base.
(signed) John G[allison]

O curas hominum, quantum \&c.
> ( "O curas hominum! O quantum est in rebus inane!"
> "Oh, the worries of mankind! Oh how much trivial stuff there is in the world!"

...from one of the satires of Persius (Sat. i. 1.) verse 3. 5
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On the demenstrability of the existence of a God.

The importance of this inquiry, whether the existence of a God can be made evident from the light of reason alone, is but little realized. Many will say, why should we busy ourselves in such speeulations? Have we not the authority of revelation? Has not God in express terms deelared to us his existence \& his attributes? But how ill grounded a seeurity is this! Does not revelation suppose a God, from whence that revelation proceeds? In what way then shall we go about to prove that what we call revelation is the declaration of God, till we have first established the existence of that God. To our senses he cannot be made perceivable, if he be any thing more, than this visible universe, or a part of it. No works however different from the common course of things can be proved to proceed from such a being, till it is first proved that the common course of things is also derived from a first \& intelligent cause. No appearances however extraordinary to our own senses can demonstrate such a power, much less the declaration of others, that such appearances have been seen. No sudden light bursting upen our understanding can convince any one but ourselves that there is a God.

Calculation of Roman Money.

In the calculation of any sum of money under Constantine $\&$ his successors, we need only refer to the excellent discourse of $\mathrm{M}^{\mathrm{r}}$ Groves Greaves on the Denarius, for the proof of the following principles; 1. That the antient \& modern Roman pound, containing 5256 grains of Troy weight, is about one twelfth lighter than the English pound, which is composed of 5760 of the same grains... etc.
Gibbon. Note 1 [page] 80. C[hapter] 17.
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tance of individuals to the execution of its laws. I value more than any thing else our happy constitution - To preserve it entire is a duty which we owe to those who framed it \& to posterity who have a claim to enjoy it. - In the evening

 सXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXX सXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXX ** think with him, our liberty \& happiness are but of short duration. - // - This morning M ${ }^{\mathrm{r}}$ Lamson handed me the first number of the "Constitutionalist" containing my "Remarks on $\mathrm{N}^{\circ} 414$ of the Spectator"

